CNN CONTRACT		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626) 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 300-4455 Facsimile: (925) 407-2700 E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Scott A. Bursor (State Bar No. 276006) 701 Brickell Ave., Suite 1420 Miami, FL 33131-2800 Telephone: (305) 676-9006 E-Mail: scott@bursor.com Attorneys for Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY O ANNE MOULTON, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P., UDR, NC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive, Defendants.	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
28	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

•	
1	Plaintiff Anne Moulton ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of herself and all others
2	similarly situated against Defendants United Dominion Realty, L.P., UDR, Inc., and DOES 1-100
3	("Defendants" or "UDR").
4	NATURE OF THE ACTION
5	1. This is a class action challenging UDR's imposition of unlawful late fees on its
6	tenants in violation of Civil Code § 1671(d) and other provisions of California law.
7	2. Plaintiff seeks relief in this action individually and on behalf of all similarly situated
8	California residents.
9	3. For more than 45 years, UDR has been in the business of renting and managing
10	apartments. UDR's provision of apartments for rent in California is, and at all times relevant hereto,
11	has been subject to UDR's lease agreement (the "Lease Contract"). In its Lease Contract, UDR
12	states that it will charge its tenants a late fee in the amount of \$100 for the late payment of rent. The
13	late fee charges and other fixed charges provided for in the Lease Contract and imposed by the Lease
14	Contract are hereinafter referred to as "Late Fees."
15	4. As is set forth more particularly below, Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the
16	proposed plaintiff class are individuals who are renting or have rented apartments from UDR in the
17	State of California, and who paid Late Fees.
18	5. The Late Fees have generated substantial revenues and profits for UDR. By this
19	complaint, Plaintiff Moulton seeks, inter alia, to permanently enjoin the enforcement and threat of
20	collection of the Late Fees and to recover as damages and/or restitution all Late Fees paid by members
21	of the plaintiff class.
22	6. The Late Fees constitute unlawful penalties that are void and unenforceable under
23	California Civil Code § 1671 ("§ 1671"); unlawful and unfair under California's Unfair Competition
24	Law, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. (the "UCL"); and unconscionable under California Civil
25	Code § 1750 et seq., the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (the "CLRA").
26	7. Plaintiff therefore seeks, as alleged with greater particularity below, to (a) permanently
27	enjoin UDR from collecting the Late Fees; (b) impose constructive trusts on all amounts by which
28	
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 1

1	UDR was unjustly enriched as a result of collecting the Late Fees; (c) recover as damages and/or
2	restitution all Late Fees paid by members of the plaintiff class; and (d) obtain all such other relief to
3	which she may be entitled pursuant to Civil Code § 1671, the UCL or any other applicable provision
4	of California law, including, without limitation, disgorgement, actual damages, and restitution.
5	PARTIES
6	8. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff Moulton was a resident of Rancho Cucamonga in
7	San Bernardino County, California. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff Moulton has rented an
8	apartment from UDR, subject to the Lease Contract, and has incurred and paid Late Fees imposed by
9	UDR pursuant thereto. As a result, Plaintiff Moulton has suffered an injury in fact resulting in the
10	loss of money and/or property.
11	9. Defendant United Dominion Realty, L.P. ("UDR LP") is a Delaware partnership
12	with its principal place of business in Highlands Ranch, Colorado.
13	10. Defendant UDR, Inc. is a Maryland corporation with its principal place of business
14	in Highlands Ranch, Colorado. Defendant UDR, Inc. is the sole general partner of UDR LP and is
15	authorized by UDR LP to to own, control, and manage all of UDR LP's apartments pursuant to
16	UDR LP's partnership agreement. ¹ Through UDR LP and UDR, Inc.'s subsidiaries DOES 1-100,
17	inclusive, UDR, Inc. also controls all of the California properties where Plaintiff and the class
18	members reside and were charged the Late Fees. ²
19	11. The true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants sued herein as DOES 1
20	through 100, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues each such
21	Defendants by said fictitious names.
22	
23	¹ See Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion
24	Realty, L.P. dated as of February 23, 2004.
25	https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/74208/000103570404000111/d13216exv10w23.txt (last accessed March 24, 2022).
26	² See Exhibit 21 to Form 10-K submitted jointly by UDR. Inc. and United Dominion Realty L.P. for the year ending December 31, 2020.
27	https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/0001018254/000007420821000025/udr-
28	20201231ex21ea607be.htm (last accessed March 24, 2022).
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 2

.

I

1	12. At all relevant times herein, Defendants acted collectively or as authorized agents of
2	each other. As such, they are jointly and severally liable for each and every one of the violations
3	alleged herein.
4	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
5	13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to California Business and
6	Professions Code Sections 17203, 17204 and 17535 and Civil Code, Section 1780.
7	14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because Defendants
8	conduct substantial business within California, including owning and managing the at-issue
9	apartments in this Complaint, such that Defendants have significant, continuous and pervasive
10	contacts with the State of California.
11	15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Civil Code § 1780(d) because Defendants
12	transact significant business in this County and throughout the State of California.
13	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
14	16. At all times relevant hereto, UDR was in the business of providing, <i>inter-alia</i> ,
15	apartment rentals pursuant to the Lease Contract to individuals in California. Under the Lease
16	Contract, UDR imposed, pursued, and collected Late Fees as provided for in the Lease Contract in
17	California.
18	17. UDR requires that tenants sign a Lease Contract. UDR drafted the Lease Contract,
19	which is not subject to modification or negotiation, and presents the Lease Contract to prospective
20	tenants on a "take it or leave it" basis. The Lease Contract is a contract of adhesion under
21	California law.
22	18. Plaintiff Moulton is, and at all times relevant hereto has been, a UDR tenant subject
23	to the Lease Contract.
24	19. The Lease Contract has at all times relevant hereto included a "Rent and Charges"
25	provision which provides for the imposition of Late Fees. The Rent and Charges provision
26	provides:
27	
28	
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 3

If you don't pay all rent on or before the expiration of one business day after
due date, you'll be delinquent. You will be obligated to pay us a late charge of
\$100 if you fail to pay any amount when due under this Contract.20.UDR has in fact imposed such Late Fees on and collected them from PlaintiffMoulton and other members of the proposed class. UDR collects the Late Fees through its
properties' staff members, mail-in checks sent to its post office boxes, and increasingly, through its
nationwide web or smartphone online portals.³ UDR possesses unfettered discretion in choosing to
collect or waive the Late Fees.⁴21.UDR has collected significant revenues from imposing Late Fees on Plaintiff

Moulton and the members of the proposed class.

22. If and to the extent that UDR suffers, would suffer or has suffered any damage upon late payment, it is neither impracticable nor extremely difficult to fix the actual damage.

12 Furthermore, if and to the extent that UDR suffers, would suffer, or has suffered any damage upon

13 late payment, the Late Fees are not a reasonable measure or approximation of such damages and do

14 not provide fair average compensation therefor. Moreover, they are—and under the circumstances

15 existing at the time the Lease Contract was made, were—unreasonable. On information and belief,

16 UDR did not conduct a reasonable endeavor to fix fair average compensation for losses, if any, that

17 || it incurs, would incur or has incurred by virtue of late payments. The Late Fees were not

18 negotiated or discussed with Plaintiff Moulton or the members of the proposed class.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23. The Late Fees imposed by UDR are unconscionable, void, and unenforceable under

20 Civil Code §§ 1670.5 and 1671(d); constitute an unlawful, unfair and deceptive practice under the

21

22

³ UDR's online portals can be found at https://residents.udr.com/ ; https://www.udr.com/resident-services/ (last accessed March 24, 2022).

 ⁴ See e.g., Form 10-K submitted jointly by UDR. Inc. and United Dominion Realty L.P. for the year ending December 31, 2020 ("In addition, certain jurisdictions have restricted our ability to charge certain fees, including fees for late payment of rent. We have received, and continue to receive, more requests from our residents and retail and commercial tenants for assistance with respect to paying rent than we have historically received. In response, we have instituted a number of initiatives to assist residents and other tenants, including rent deferrals, payment plans, and waiving late payment fees when appropriate") (emphasis added).
 https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/0001018254/000007420821000025/udr-20201231x10k.htm (last accessed March 24, 2022).

		CLASS ALLEGATIONS
	24.	Plaintiff Moulton brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of all other
person		arly situated pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and Civi
-		Plaintiff seeks certification of the following class (the "Class"):
	to the Fees i	alifornia residents who rented and/or are renting a rental property from UDR pursu Lease Contract, or any successor agreement thereto, and who paid one or more La imposed by UDR pursuant to the Lease Contract. Any judicial officer to whom the n is assigned is excluded from the Class.
	25.	Numerosity of the Class: The Class is composed of at least thousands of individ
who a	re or w	ere tenants subject to UDR's Lease Contract, the joinder of which in one action w
be imj	oractica	able. The disposition of their claims through this class action will benefit both the
partie	s and th	e Court. The identities of individual members of the Class are ascertainable through
UDR'	s billin	g records.
	26.	Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law: There is a
well-c	lefined	community of interest in the questions of law and fact that affect the members of
propo	sed Cla	ss. The questions of law and fact common to the proposed Class predominate over
questi	ons tha	t affect only individual class members.
	27.	Such questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
		a. Whether UDR's Late Fees are illegal, void and unenforceable contractua
penalt	ies pur	suant to Civil Code § 1671(d);
		b. Whether damages are extremely difficult or impracticable to determine;
		c. Whether UDR conducted a reasonable endeavor, prior to imposing the L
Fees o	or inclu	ding them in the Lease Contract, to fix fair average compensation for losses, if an
that it	suffers	when residential tenants pay rent late, and if so, whether the Late Fees reflect the
result	s of suc	ch a reasonable endeavor.
		d. Whether UDR's Late Fees are unconscionable;
		e. Whether UDR's Late Fees violate the UCL;

1 f. Whether UDR's Late Fees violate the CLRA; Whether Plaintiff and the proposed Class members are entitled to restitution 2 g. 3 of Late Fees paid to UDR; Whether Plaintiff and the proposed Class members are entitled to an award 4 h. 5 of reasonable attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest and costs of this suit; and Whether UDR should be enjoined from collecting Late Fees and/or from 6 i. 7 enforcing the Late Fee provision in its existing contracts. 8 Typicality: Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the proposed Class 28. members' claims, having paid Late Fees to UDR pursuant to the Lease Contract. Plaintiff and the 9 10 proposed Class members have similarly suffered harm arising from UDR's violations of the law, as 11 alleged herein. 12 29. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the proposed Class. Her 13 interests do not conflict with, and are not antagonistic to, the interests of the members of that Class. 14 She will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class, and she has retained 15 counsel that have considerable experience and success in prosecuting complex class actions and 16 consumer protection cases. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and 17 30. 18 efficient adjudication of Plaintiff Moulton's and the proposed Class members' claims. Plaintiff and 19 the members of the proposed Class have suffered irreparable harm as a result of UDR's unfair, 20 unlawful, and unconscionable conduct. The class is readily definable, and because of the size of 21 the individual Class members' claims, few, if any, proposed Class members could afford to seek 22 legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Prosecution as a class action avoids repetitious 23 litigation and duplicative litigation costs, conserves judicial resources, and ensures uniformity of 24 decisions. UDR has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final 25 injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the class as a whole. Absent the class action, the 26 proposed Class members will continue to suffer losses, the violations of law described herein will 27 continue without remedy, and UDR will be permitted to retain the proceeds of its misdeeds. UDR 28

6

1	continues to engage in the unlawful, unfair, and unconscionable conduct that is the subject of this
2	Complaint. Without a class action, UDR will continue a course of action that will result in further
3	damages to Plaintiff Moulton and members of the class and will likely retain the benefits of its
4	wrongdoing.
5	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
6	Violation of California Civil Code § 1671(d)
7	31. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
8	paragraphs of this complaint.
9	32. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class
10	against UDR.
11	33. The Late Fees are impermissible liquidated damages provisions under California
12	law. The Late Fees themselves, the contractual provisions that provide for them, and UDR's
13	imposition and collection of them violate Civil Code § 1671(d) and are unlawful, void, and
14	unenforceable under that statute.
15	34. Civil Code § 1671(d) states that a contractual provision liquidating damages for the
16	breach of a contract for a lease of real property for use as a dwelling by the party or those
17	dependent upon the party for support is void. The statute contains an exception that states that the
18	parties to such a contract may agree on an amount that shall be presumed to be the amount of the
19	damage sustained by a breach, when it would be impracticable or extremely difficult to fix that
20	actual damage. The Lease Contract is a contract for the lease of real property for use as a dwelling
21	by the party or those dependent upon the party for support.
22	35. If and to the extent that UDR suffers, would suffer, or has suffered any damages due
23	to late payment by Plaintiff or members of the Class, it would not be impracticable, nor would it be
24	extremely difficult, to determine those damages with certainty. Furthermore, the liquidated
25	damages in the Lease Contract do not reflect a reasonable endeavor by UDR to fix fair average
26	compensation for any harm that UDR would suffer, may suffer, or have suffered, if any, from the
27	late rent payments. The Lease Contract is a contract of adhesion UDR drafted and presented to
28	
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 7

,

•

•

•

prospective tenants on a "take it or leave it" basis with no opportunity for any prospective tenant to 1 negotiate any of its terms and conditions. The Late Fees provision in the Lease Contract is a 2 liquidated damages provision that fails to comply with the standards set forth in Civil Code § 3 1671(d), and therefore constitutes an impermissible contractual penalty. UDR's imposition of Late 4 5 Fees on Plaintiff and the members of the Class violates, and at all times relevant here to has 6 violated, § 1671(d). UDR's collection of Late Fees from Plaintiff and the members of the Class 7 likewise violates, and at all times relevant hereto has violated, Civil Code § 1671(d). 8 Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the Class have suffered an injury in fact 36. 9 resulting in the loss of money and/or property as a proximate result of the violations of law and the 10 wrongful conduct of UDR alleged herein. Pursuant to Civil Code § 1671(d), Plaintiff Moulton, 11 individually and on behalf of the members of the Class, seeks an order of this Court preliminarily 12 and permanently enjoining UDR from further enforcement and collection of Late Fees as alleged 13 herein. Plaintiff Moulton also seeks an order: 14 Requiring UDR to cease its unlawful acts and practices; j. 15 k. Directing UDR to make full restitution of all monies wrongfully obtained; 16 Forcing UDR to disgorge all ill-gotten revenues and/or profits; and 1. 17 Providing such other and further relief as may be just and proper. m. 18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Moulton prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth. 19 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, 20 California Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq. 21 Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in all preceding 37. 22 paragraphs of this complaint. 23 Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class 38. 24 against UDR. 25 UDR has engaged in deceptive practices, unlawful methods of competition, and/or 39. 26 unfair acts as defined by Civil Code § 1750, et seq., to the detriment of Plaintiff and the members 27 28 8 FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

of the Class. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered harm as a proximate result of the violations of law and the wrongful conduct of UDR alleged herein.

2

1

UDR intentionally, knowingly, and unlawfully perpetrated harm upon Plaintiff 3 40. Moulton and the Class members by inserting unconscionable, unenforceable, and illegal provisions 4 in its Lease Contracts with Plaintiff Moulton and the Class members in violation of Civil Code § 5 1770(a)(19), and by enforcing those provisions. By inserting an unconscionable, unenforceable, 6 and void Late Fees provision in the Lease Contract, and then enforcing that provision by imposing 7 and collecting Late Fees, UDR has also violated Civil Code § 1770(a)(14), which prohibits it from 8 representing that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not 9 10 have or involve, or which are prohibited by law.

UDR's inclusion of Late Fees in its Lease Contract and its collection of Late Fees is
 unlawful, unethical, oppressive, fraudulent, and malicious. The gravity of the harm to all
 consumers from UDR's policies and practices far outweighs any purported utility those policies
 and practices may have.

15 42. Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the Class have suffered harm as a proximate
16 result of the violations of law and the wrongful conduct of UDR alleged herein and will continue to
17 suffer such harm if UDR's illegal practices are not abated.

Under California Civil Code § 1780(a), Plaintiff and members of the Class seek
damages, injunctive and equitable relief for UDR's violations of the CLRA. On August 11, 2021,
Plaintiff mailed an appropriate demand letter consistent with California Civil Code § 1782(a).
UDR failed to take corrective action within 30 days of receipt of the demand letter.
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Moulton prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

23

24 25

California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.
44. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in all preceding

<u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Unlawful Business Practices in Violation of the Unfair Competition Law

paragraphs of this complaint.

28

26

Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class 45. 2 against UDR.

UDR's continuing imposition, enforcement and collection of unlawful, 46. unconscionable, and unenforceable Late Fees constitute unlawful business practices in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered harm as a proximate result of the violations of law and the wrongful conduct of UDR alleged herein.

7 47. Civil Code § 1671(d) states that a provision in a contract liquidating damages for the 8 breach of the contract is void except that the parties to such a contract may agree therein on an amount that shall be presumed to be the amount of the damage sustained by a breach thereof, 9 when, from the nature of the case, it would be impracticable or extremely difficult to fix the actual 10 11 damage.

12 48. The Late Fees charged by UDR to, and collected by UDR from, Plaintiff Moulton 13 and the members of the Class are unlawful liquidated damages provisions under Civil Code § 14 1671(d) for the reasons set forth above. Because UDR includes the Late Fees provision in the 15 Lease Contract and imposes and collects Late Fees, UDR has violated Civil Code § 1671(d).

16 49. UDR also violates Civil Code § 1670.5 by including the Late Fees provision in the 17 Lease Contract and collecting Late Fees from Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the Class 18 because the Late Fees are unconscionable. Prospective tenants have no meaningful choice with 19 respect to the inclusion of the Late Fees in the Lease Contract nor in the amount of the Late Fees, and there are no reasonable market alternatives available. UDR drafts and presents the Lease 20 21 Contract to prospective tenants on a "take it or leave it" basis with no opportunity or possibility for prospective tenants to negotiate any different terms and conditions with UDR. The Late Fees 22 23 provision in the Lease Contract is therefore procedurally unconscionable.

24 50. The Late Fees are unreasonably favorable to UDR, unreasonably one-sided, and unduly harsh with respect to UDR's tenants, and therefore, are substantively unconscionable. For 25 example, the Late Fees have no relationship whatsoever to any damages incurred by UDR, if any, 26 27 as a result of late payment by tenants.

28

1

3

4

5

6

FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	51. The Late Fees that UDR charged to Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the Class
а	also violate the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code § 1750 et seq., as they are
ι	unconscionable, unenforceable, and illegal provisions in violation of Civil Code §§ 1770(a)(14)
8	and 1770(a)(19).
	52. Plaintiff Moulton and the members of the Class have suffered an injury in fact
r	resulting in the loss of money or property as a result of having paid the Late Fees.
	53. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order of this Court
ł	permanently enjoining UDR from continuing to engage in its unfair and unlawful conduct as
ŧ	alleged herein. Plaintiff also seeks, inter alia, an order requiring UDR to:
	a. Immediately cease its unlawful acts and practices;
	b. Make full restitution of all monies wrongfully obtained; and
	c. Disgorge all ill-gotten revenues and/or profits.
	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Moulton prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.
	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
	Unfair Business Practices in Violation of Business and Professions Code
	§§ 17200 et seq.
	54. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
]	paragraphs of this complaint.
	55. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class
ł	against UDR.
	56. The conduct of UDR, as herein alleged, constitutes an unfair business practice
,	within the meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.
	57. UDR violated the "unfair" prong of the UCL by requiring tenants to enter into
,	contracts of adhesion that include the Late Fees provision, by enforcing the contractual provision
	that provide for the imposition of the Late Fees, and by imposing and collecting the Late Fees.
	58. UDR's said practices with respect to Late Fees violate the "unfair" prong of the
	UCL because the Late Fees: (1) constitute unfair and wrongful penalties inconsistent with the
	language and policy of Civil Code § 1671; and (2) constitute unconscionable provisions in
.	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 2 violation of various laws and policies recognized by the California Legislature and the California courts, including without limitation Civil Code § 1670.5 and the CLRA.

UDR's said practices with respect to the Late Fees also violate the "unfair" prong of 3 59. the UCL because the gravity of the harm that the Late Fees impose on consumers significantly 4 outweigh any utility. The Late Fees have limited or no utility as compared to alternatives that 5 would more fairly measure the harm (if any) incurred by UDR when a tenant makes a late rent 6 payment. The gravity of the harm that the Late Fees impose on consumers is substantial in that 7 they exceed the actual amount of harm (if any) incurred by UDR when a tenant makes a late rent 8 payment. Through its imposition and collection of the Late Fees from the members of the Class, 9 10 UDR has been massively and unjustly enriched. UDR's Late Fees also violate the "unfair" prong of the UCL because their inclusion in the Lease Contracts, and their imposition on and collection 11 from tenants are and at all times relevant hereto have been oppressive, unscrupulous or 12 13 substantially injurious to consumers.

UDR's said practices with respect to the Late Fees also violate the "unfair" prong of 14 60. 15 the UCL because the Late Fees, the provision of the Lease Contract imposing the Late Fees, and 16 UDR's enforcement of them through the imposition and collection thereof cause substantial harm that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition and consumers 17 18 could not reasonably have avoided the harm.

19 61.

UDR's practices with respect to the Late Fees also violate the "unfair" prong of the 20 UCL for the reasons set forth in the Third Cause of Action, above.

- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered an injury in fact resulting in the 21 62. loss of money or property as a result, inter alia, of having paid the Late Fees. 22
- 23 Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order of this Court 63. permanently enjoining UDR from continuing to engage in its unfair and unlawful conduct as 24 25 alleged herein. Plaintiff also seeks an order, inter alia, requiring UDR to:
- 26
- 27 28

Make full restitution of all monies wrongfully obtained; and 0.

Immediately cease its unlawful acts and practices;

n.

1	p. Disgorge all ill-gotten revenues and /or profits.
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Moulton prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Moulton respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff and all
5	members of the proposed class the following relief against Defendants:
6	A. An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff Moulton and the undersigned
7	counsel of record to represent the Class;
8	B. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their partners, joint ventures,
9	subsidiaries, agents, servants, and employees, and all persons acting under, in concert with them
10	directly or indirectly, or in any manner, from in any way engaging in the unfair and unlawful
11	practices and violations of law set forth herein;
12	C. Full restitution of all funds acquired from UDR's unfair business practices and other
13	violations of law, including disgorgement of profits;
14	D. Imposition of a constructive trust upon all monies and assets that UDR has acquired
15	from its unfair practices;
16	E. Damages according to proof;
17	F. A judicial declaration regarding the validity of UDR's liquidated damages
18	provisions in the Lease Contract;
19	G. Costs of suit herein;
20	H. Both pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;
21	I. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees; and
22	J. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
23	JURY DEMAND
24	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues so triable.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	Dated: July 5, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
2		BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
3		BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. By: 2. Tinty Fisher
4		Dy
5		L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626) 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
6		Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 300-4455
7		Facsimile: (925) 407-2700 E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com
8		BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
9 10		Scott A. Bursor (State Bar No. 276006) 701 Brickell Ave., Suite 1420 Miami, FL 33131-2800
11		Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 E-Mail: scott@bursor.com
12		5
13		GUCOVSCHI LAW, PLLC. Adrian Gucovschi (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) 630 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
14		New York, NY 10111 Telephone: (212) 884-4230
15		Facsimile: (212) 884-4230 E-Mail: adrian@gucovschi-law.com
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAIN	Τ

	·
1	CLRA Venue Declaration Pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1780(d)
2	I, L. Timothy Fisher, declare as follows:
3	1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in the State of California and a member
4	of the bar of this Court. I am a partner at Bursor & Fisher, P.A., counsel of record for Plaintiff
5	Anne Moulton in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration
6	and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto under oath.
7	2. The Complaint filed in this action is filed in the proper place for trial under Civil
8	Code Section 1780(d) in that a substantial portion of the transaction alleged in the Complaint
9	occurred in San Bernardino County. Plaintiff Moulton alleges that she was charged and paid Late
10	Fees in this County.
11	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the
12	United States that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed at
13	Walnut Creek, California, this 5th day of July, 2022.
14	
15	2. Tinty Fisher
16	L. Limothy Fisher
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

٠

-

Ja js Ji jł Ju	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Bursor & Fisher, P.A., 1990 North California Blvd, Suit 940, Walnut Creek, California 94596. On July 5, 2022, I served the within document(s): FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT Image: Strange of the state of
Ja js Ji jł Ju	 the within action. My business address is Bursor & Fisher, P.A., 1990 North California Blvd, Suit 940, Walnut Creek, California 94596. On July 5, 2022, I served the within document(s): FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT Image: Dy e-mail transmission on that date. These documents were transmitted via e-mail to the following e-mail addresses as set forth below.
Ja js Ji jł Ju	FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT Image: State of the sta
js Ji jł Ju	leffrey M. Singletary singletary@swlaw.com
js Ji jł Ju	singletary@swlaw.com
S 60 C T F 6 A	hua@swlaw.com lustin F. Mello mello@swlaw.com SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. i00 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, California 92626-7689 Pelephone: 714.427.7000 'acsimile: 714.427.7090 Attorneys for United Dominion Realty, L.P. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is rue and correct, executed on July 5, 2022, at Walnut Creek, California. Dubble Schroeder Debbie Schroeder